

STATE OF NEW JERSEY )

)

COUNTY OF CAMDEN )

**AFFIDAVIT**

I, David R. Monie, first being duly sworn upon oath depose and say that I am employed by GPM Associates Inc., as President; that I have read the attached and foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony of David R. Monie in Docket Nos. 00-0337, 00-0338 and 00-0339 (consolidated), which is identified as CIWC Exhibit 9.0SR; that this document was prepared by me or under my supervision and I know the contents thereof; that said contents are true in substance and in fact; and that CIWC Exhibit 9.0SR is the testimony I wish to give in this proceeding.

Further affiant sayeth not.



David R. Monie

Subscribed and Sworn  
to before me this  
16<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2000.

  
Notary Public

DOCS1:47213.1 11/10/00 1:55 PM

SHAMBRA S. HINES  
NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY  
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES OCT. 22, 2003

OFFICIAL FILE  
I.C.C. DOCKET NO. 00-0337-0339  
CIWC Exhibit No. 9.0SR  
Witness Monie  
Date 11/17/00 Reporter lor

CIWC EXHIBIT NO. 9.0SR

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Of

DAVID R. MONIE

ON BEHALF OF

CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. 00-0337, 00-0338, 00-0339

Consolidated

November 6, 2000

CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY - KANKAKEE DIVISION

DOCKET NOS. 00-0337, 00-0338 AND 00-0339 Consolidated

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID R. MONIE:

1 Q: Please state your full name and address.

2 A: My name is David R. Monie. I live at 1 Ternberry Court, Turnersville, NJ 08012.

3 Q: What is your business affiliation?

4 A: I am President of G.P.M. Associates Inc., a water engineering and management  
5 consulting firm located at 100 Dobbs Lane, Suite 212, Cherry Hill, NJ 08034.

6 Q: Are you the same David Monie that presented direct and testimony in this  
7 matter?

8 A: Yes.

9 Q: Have you reviewed the rebuttal testimony of Thomas R. Stack, P.E. filed in this  
10 matter?

11 A: Yes.

12 Q: Please comment on Mr. Stack's proposed fire protection charges.

13 A: While I continue to disagree with Mr. Stack's position that customer equivalent  
14 units are not an appropriate way to allocate all of the fire protection charges, I  
15 would strongly recommend that the Two-Tier approach to allocating fire charges  
16 presented in Mr. Stack's rebuttal testimony be used. The Company would find  
17 that position much more favorable for our customers than his original approach.

18 Q: Mr. Monie, does this complete your testimony at this time?

19 A: Yes.